

Applicant : Duncan Roger HARPER, et al.
Serial No. : 10/091,284
Filed : March 5, 2002
Page : 6 of 6

Attorney's Docket No.: 08291-0719001 / 10279P1
USw/DM/JMH

REMARKS

The foregoing amendment amends Claims 40 and 41 in order to obviate their rejection under the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112. These claims were rejected as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter intended to be covered by said claims.

These claims are intended to cover methods in which components (b) and (d) are mixed into a composition already including components (a), (c) and (e). The examiner regarded the language of these claims as originally presented as being indefinite. It is believed that, with the foregoing amendment, these claims now point out and distinctly claim the subject matter.

It is noted that Claims 25-28 and 34-39 have been allowed

In view of the foregoing amendment, it is believed that all claims in this application are now in condition for allowance. Favorable action is therefore requested.

Please apply any charges or credits to deposit account 06-1050, referencing 08291-0719001.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 28 May 2009

/Frederick H. Rabin/

Frederick H. Rabin

Reg. No. 24,488

Fish & Richardson P.C.
225 Franklin Street
Boston, MA 02110
Telephone: (617) 542-5070
Facsimile: (877) 769-7945